

Re: Request to Check for Errors ~[OFFICIAL]~

From: [REDACTED]

To: alison.ross@cheshire.pnn.police.uk

Cc: david.bryan@cheshire.pnn.police.uk; darren.martland@cheshire.pnn.police.uk

Date: Tuesday, 7 January 2020, 11:15 GMT

Your response appears to contain words of force solicitor David Bryan.

If I am correct and that you consulted him, he will also have told you that a claim for defamation will not succeed unless the alleged defamatory statements are untrue and opinions expressed are not honestly and reasonably held. He will also have told you about the risks associated with having criminals Eoin Anderson and Nicola Rimmer called as witnesses. It is also worth pointing out that a member of his department, whether under his direction I do not know, submitted to the court documents that she knew to be untrue, in violation of the Solicitors Regulation Authority Principles and Code of Conduct. That does not reflect well on him.

The truth of facts presented on my website is as yet unchallenged. I am certain that there are no errors of fact, but have always expressed a willingness to review anything that anybody claims is false. My opinions are honestly and reasonably held in light of the facts. If anybody tells me that they consider particular opinions unreasonable, I will review those too.

In your case, I call you a hypocrite because you made a statement about integrity that is the opposite of your, and the constabulary's, actions. I call you a liar because you have full access to all the information that shows there was never any meaningful investigation into the actions of criminals Eoin Anderson and Nicola Rimmer, yet say that my complaint was "full investigated". Frankly, you disgust me.

The tragedy is that we should be on the same side of truth and justice, instead of which you people are all treating me as the enemy. The real enemies of police integrity, truth and justice are criminals Eoin Anderson and Nicola Rimmer and the despicable Richard Hill, Miles Dignam and John Armstrong who ensured that those criminals were exonerated without any proper investigation.

I fully understand why police officers have a strong need to support one another, but it is a serious mistake when it comes to defending and covering up for the criminal ones. I guess you people are just too stupid to recognise that. Wouldn't it make sense to restore your integrity, return the money you stole, say sorry and make it all go away?